Annual Assessment of Forest Health in the Lye Brook Wilderness Area 1998

Vermont Department of Forests, Parks & Recreation Sandra H. Wilmot

Cooperators

Brent Teillon, Jay Lackey, Brad Greenough, Ron Wells, and Lars Lund, Department of Forests, Parks & Recreation; Florence Peterson, USDA Forest Service-Forest Health Protection.

Introduction

Annual assessments of crown condition, mortality, and damages are conducted on permanent plots located at two elevations, 1400 and 2200 feet. The purpose of these plots is to document changes in tree health over time and to aid in the identification of causes for declines, if they occur. The same methods is used to assess forest health on plots throughout Vermont.

Materials and Methods

Five long-term monitoring plots using the design and measurement variables of the National Forest Health Monitoring Program (NFHM) (Tallent-Halsell, N.G. 1994) are used to represent forest health in the Lye Brook Wilderness Area. Data collected to assess forest health includes mensuration, crown condition and tree damages. In 1990, one plot was established at 2300' as part of the NFHM Program grid. One additional plot at the same elevation and 2 plots at 1400' were established in 1994. An additional high elevation plot was added in 1995 to improve the hardwood sample size. These elevations were chosen for comparison with plots on Mt. Mansfield, the northern Vermont VMC study site.

Results and Discussion

Crown condition. In 1998, trees surveyed showed a trend towards poorer condition (Tables 1-4). Percent of trees healthy was 81.3% on 1400 foot plots, and 93.5% on 2200 foot plots (Table 4). When compared to 5 year averages, tree foliage was significantly thinner (foliage transparency increased) and crown density was lower at both elevations (Figures 1-2). Survey plots were not affected by the January 1998 ice storm, but a prevalence of leaf diseases due to wet summer conditions may have played a factor in reduced foliage and crown density. Species particularly affected were black cherry at 1400 feet, and balsam fir at 2200 feet.

| Species | Elevation | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | <u>1997</u> | <u>1998</u> |
|-----------------|-----------|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Balsam Fir | 2200 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2.2 | <u>6.6</u> |
| Black Cherry | 1400 | 6.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 6.0 | 9.5 |
| Paper Birch | 1400 | * | * | 4.5 | 1.5 | 5.0 |
| Red Maple | 1400 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 4.3 |
| | 2200 | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.9 | <u>4.</u> | 5.7 |
| Red Spruce | 2200 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 4.3 | .9 | 2.8 |
| All Species | 1400 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 3.5 | 6.2 |
| | 2200 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 5 | 3.2 | 5.3 |

Table 1. Trend in average crown dieback measurements for overstory trees growing onmonitoring plots at different elevations in the Lye Brook Wilderness Area, 1994 - 1998.

* Sample size <10 trees.

Table 2. Trend in average crown density measurements for overstory trees growing on monitoring plots at different elevations in the Lye Brook Wilderness Area, 1994 - 1998.

| Species | Elevation | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
|-----------------|-----------|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Balsam Fir | 2200 | 48.3 | 44.2 | 50.6 | <u>51.8</u> | <u>38.2</u> |
| Black Cherry | 1400 | 45.5 | 42.5 | 38 | 48.5 | <u>33.5</u> |
| Paper Birch | 1400 | * | * | 54 | <u>51.5</u> | 45.0 |
| Red Maple | 1400 | 55.2 | 52.3 | 51.5 | 51.0 | 44. |
| | 2200 | 46.7 | 50.2 | 56.4 | <u>54.5</u> | 44.3 |
| Red Spruce | 2200 | 51.0 | 51.4 | 58.6 | 56.2 | 46.0 |
| All Species | 1400 | 53.0 | 52.4 | 50.3 | <u>51.8</u> | 42.2 |
| | 2200 | 48.3 | 48.7 | 55.2 | 53.7 | 43 |

| Species | Elevation | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | <u>1997</u> | <u>1998</u> |
|--------------|-----------|------|------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Balsam Fir | 2200 | 18.3 | 24.4 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 28. |
| Black Cherry | 1400 | 25 | * | 26.5 | 25.5 | <u>29.5</u> |
| Paper Birch | 1400 | * | * | 20.5 | <u>17.5</u> | 23.8 |
| Red Maple | 1400 | 14.2 | 19.6 | 15 | 16.5 | 18.0 |
| | 2200 | 20.9 | 24.8 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 20.8 |
| Red Spruce | 2200 | 16.6 | 22.1 | 12.9 | 15.6 | 19.7 |
| All Species | 1400 | 17.0 | 23.1 | 18. 2 | 17.9 | 21.5 |
| | 2200 | 18.9 | 24.1 | 15.3 | 17.0 | 23.0 |

Table 3. Trend in average foliage transparency measurements for overstory trees growing on monitoring plots at different elevations in the Lye Brook Wilderness Area, 1994 - 1998. *indicates < 10 trees

Table 4. Trend in percent of trees healthy for overstory trees growing on monitoring plots at different elevations in the Lye Brook Wilderness Area, 1994 - 1998. *indicates < 10 trees

| Species | Elevation | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | <u>1997</u> | <u>1998</u> |
|--------------|-----------|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Balsam Fir | 2200 | 100 | 100 | 91.7 | 100 | 89.2 |
| Black Cherry | 1400 | 100 | * | 80 | 100 | <u>70.0</u> |
| Paper Birch | 1400 | * | * | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Red Maple | 1400 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95.8 | 87.0 |
| | 2200 | 93.1 | 96.8 | 90 | 100 | 95.2 |
| Red Spruce | 2200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | <u>94.7</u> |
| All Species | 1400 | 98.1 | 92.2 | 94.0 | 94.6 | 81.3 |
| | 2200 | 98.6 | 97.6 | 92.7 | 100 | 93.5 |

Figure 1. Overstory tree health in 1998 compared to 5 year averages (baseline) for survey plots at 1400 feet in the Lye Brook Wilderness Area. * = significantly different



Figure 2. Overstory tree health in 1998 compared to 5 year averages (baseline) for survey plots at 2200 feet in the Lye Brook Wilderness Area. * = significantly different



| Elevation | Percent of trees damaged | Percent of damaged trees affected by types of damage | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| .400 | 20 % | 23.6 % with indicators of decay 3.6 % with open wounds (size > 20% of circumference) 3.6 % with dead or broken top 1.8 % with broken or dead branches | | | |
| 2200 | 25.5 % | 13.1 % with indicators of decay 8.0 % with dead or broken top 2.9 % with open wounds 1.5 % with broken/dead branches 1.4 % with cankers 0.7 % with brooming | | | |

Table 5. Percent of overstory trees affected by different types of tree damages in 1998.

<u>Tree damages</u>. Results on the incidence of damages that have the potential to significantly affect tree growth and vigor show that 20% of trees on the 1400 foot elevation plots and 25.5% of trees on the 2200 foot elevation plots have some sort of damage (**Table 5**). The most common type of damage is "indicator of decay". At the 2200 foot elevation, dead or broken tops are also common.

References

Tallent-Halsell, N.G. (ed.). 1994. Forest Health Monitoring 1994 Field Methods Guide. EPA/620/R-94/027. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 1998 version.