Integrating genetic and ecological data using a new circuit theory approach to measure and map wildlife connectivity across the Northeast

FEMC ANNUAL CONFERENCE DECEMBER 12, 2024

CAITLIN DRASHER

JAMES MURDOCH

C D R A S H E R @ U V M . E D U

J M U R D O C H @ U V M . E D U

Rubenstein School of Environment and Natural Resources

Acknowledgments

FUNDING: Northeastern States Research Cooperative

PARTNERS:

US Forest Service, Green Mountain and Finger Lakes: Suzanne Gifford

VT Fish and Wildlife Department: Kim Royar

New Hampshire Fish and Game: Patrick Tate

Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife: Shevenell Webb

USDA Wildlife Services: Owen Montgomery

Mammalian Ecology and Conservation Unit, UC Davis: Dr. Benjamin Sacks, Stevi Vanderzwan

University of Vermont: RSNER, Murdoch Lab

University of Missouri: McKay Lab

<u>DATA:</u> Wildlife occurrence data from Pearman-Gillman et al. (2020), landcover data from NALCMS (2016). Expert opinion data from regional wildlife experts. Computations performed on the Vermont Advanced Computing Center. ResistanceGA package (Peterman 2018) for genetic data analysis.















Rubenstein School of Environment and Natural Resources



Critical wildlife linkage areas identified by the <u>Staying Connected</u> <u>Initiative.</u>

Regional Context

Northern Appalachian/ Acadian Region

- 330,000 km²
- Important forest blocks, coastline, mountain ranges
- Wildlife corridors



Regional Context

Regional conservation challenges:

- Persistent problems of habitat fragmentation, alteration, and loss.
- Substantial impact on wildlife populations, restricting movement and gene flow across the landscape.
- There is a need for more information on landscape genetic patterns of historic species movements and gene flow that will help land and wildlife managers support and maintain connectivity.

Genetic connectivity

- Benefits to species: reduce inbreeding depression, genetic drift; adaptation to stochasticity
- Different landscape variables will *facilitate* or *impede* gene flow
- Species movements associated with gene flow may tell a different story than dayto-day movements



Connectivity requirements vary by species



Connectivity Models

- Models of species movements created using electrical circuit theory
- Wildlife movement = electricity
- Landscape = circuit



(Landau et al. 2021, McRae et al. 2016)



Circuit models used to map predicted movement paths of 2,954 species under climate change projections, (Lawler et al. 2013, McGuire et al. 2016). "Migrations in Motion" map created by Dan Majika, TNC.

Methods



Source Strength

Species occurrence data (Pearman-Gillman et al. 2020)

Resistance

Genetically-optimized resistance surface (genetic data & NALCMS landcover) Omniscape gene flow models Species-specific maps of gene flow across northeastern US (900m resolution)

Methods

Genetic sample collection:

- Extensive effort to collect tissue or hair samples from all focal species.
- Samples were primarily collected from hunters and trappers who harvested animals during regulated seasons.
- Additional samples collected from roadkill animals; many collected by USDA Wildlife Services in each state.
- Samples placed into cryovials, organized by species, and sent to UC Davis for DNA extraction and analysis.





Methods

Genetic analyses:

- The Mammalian Ecology and Conservation Unit at UC Davis performed DNA extraction on the samples.
- Bobcat, coyote, fisher, raccoon, red fox, and skunk samples: SNP markers sequenced using Genotype-by-Sequencing (GBS) methods.
- Bear and deer samples had microsatellite loci sequenced for analysis.
- Existing sample data for moose (Rosenblatt et al. 2022) and marten (Aylward et al. 2020) were used for these species.
- Data were filtered to remove null alleles using PLINK.

ResistanceGA analyses:

 ResistanceGA package (Peterman 2018) used to optimize categorical landcover surface (NALCMS) of 8 variables, individually for each species.





Graduate student Caitlin Drasher with 700 wildlife samples sent to the Mammalian Ecology and Conservation Unit at UC Davis for DNA extraction and sequencing.

Results



Number of samples collected by state				
Species	Vermont	New Hampshire	Maine	Total
American black bear	15	47	0	62
American marten	Samples from Aylward et al. 2019			
Eastern bobcat	85	38	89	212
Eastern coyote	41	28	21	90
Fisher	76	18	33	127
Gray fox	12	17	12	41
Moose	Samples from Rosenblatt et al. 2022			
Raccoon	37	38	48	123
Red fox	20	19	38	77
Striped skunk	36	23	19	78
White-tailed deer	65	157	89	311
Total	387	385	349	1,121



Total number of samples analyzed for landscape genetic analyses, after filtering problematic loci and individuals. Samples that maximized geographic distribution were selected for analysis.





Classifications of current density: compare current to a 'null' model of flow potential (in 'perfect' landscape)

- Channelized: much greater current than expected
- Intensified: greater current than expected
- Diffuse: as much current as expected
- Impeded: less current than expected

Normalized=Model Electrical Current DensityCurrent DensityNull Model Electrical Current Density

Maine BPL Lumber Easement 31% Impeded 56% Diffuse 10% Intensified 3% Channelized





Implications and Applications in the Northern Forest Region

- Genetically-optimized resistance surfaces describe the importance of different landcover variables for genetic connectivity in the northeastern US. Can be applied to various modeling applications (i.e., future scenarios of climate change) or to better understand what landcover types facilitate gene flow.
- Regional species-specific maps of gene flow can inform the management of species and habitats across the region to promote genetically connected and resilient populations.
- These models can help us quantify genetic connectivity for different species in areas of interest.



Continued work

- Update landscape genetic models to include additional covariates such as elevation/terrain ruggedness.
- Continue to use model results to inform USFS management objectives in the Green Mountain National Forest and within statemanaged lands.
- Connectivity models will also be available publicly and to state & federal agency partners to inform species management objectives.



Thank you!

FUNDING: Northeastern States Research Cooperative

PARTNERS:

US Forest Service, Green Mountain and Finger Lakes: Suzanne Gifford

VT Fish and Wildlife Department: Kim Royar

New Hampshire Fish and Game: Patrick Tate

Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife: Shevenell Webb

USDA Wildlife Services: Owen Montgomery

Mammalian Ecology and Conservation Unit, UC Davis: Dr. Benjamin Sacks, Stevi Vanderzwan

University of Vermont: RSNER, Murdoch Lab

University of Missouri: McKay Lab

<u>DATA:</u> Wildlife occurrence data from Pearman-Gillman et al. (2020), landcover data from NALCMS (2016). Expert opinion data from regional wildlife experts. Computations performed on the Vermont Advanced Computing Center. ResistanceGA package (Peterman 2018) for genetic data analysis.













CAITLIN DRASHER

JAMES MURDOCH

C D R A S H E R @ U V M . E D U

J M U R D O C H @ U V M . E D U



Rubenstein School of Environment and Natural Resources